

ASSESSING QUALITY OF LIFE AMONG INDIAN CHILDREN WITH ADHD: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW

Dr. S P Subashini¹, Dr. Sandeep Garg², Dr. Sujatha.S³, Charlotte Ranadive⁴, Rituparna Guha⁵, Vijay Laxmanbhai Malivad⁶

1. Dean, Faculty of Nursing, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
2. Professor, Mewar Nursing College, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India
3. Professor, College of Nursing, Pondicherry Institute of Medical Sciences, Puducherry, India
4. Principal, Army College of Nursing Jalandhar Cantt, Punjab, India
5. Associate Professor, College of Nursing, AFMC, Pune, Maharashtra, India
6. Assistant Professor, Shankersinh Vaghela Babu Institute of Nursing, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India

Corresponding Author

Dr. S P Subashini, Dean, Faculty of Nursing, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email: subashiniphd2014@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) is one of the most prevalent neurodevelopmental disorders in children, marked by persistent patterns of inattention, hyperactivity, and impulsivity. This disorder significantly impacts the cognitive, behavioral, and emotional functioning of affected individuals, thereby influencing their overall quality of life (QoL). QoL is a multidimensional construct encompassing various domains, including physical health, emotional well-being, social interactions, and academic performance. Understanding the QoL of children with ADHD is crucial, particularly in culturally diverse and developing contexts like India. This review synthesizes current literature on the assessment of QoL among Indian children with ADHD, examining the tools utilized for evaluation and the disorder's repercussions across multiple QoL domains. It highlights cultural considerations and the role of social, familial, and educational factors in shaping the QoL of these children. Furthermore, it addresses the epidemiology of ADHD in India, the influence of socioeconomic factors, and the impact of various interventions aimed at improving QoL. The findings underscore the need for comprehensive assessment and targeted interventions to enhance the well-being of children with ADHD in India.

Key words: Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), Quality of Life (QoL), Children, Interventions

INTRODUCTION

Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) is one of the most prevalent neurodevelopmental disorders among children, characterized by persistent patterns of inattention, hyperactivity, and impulsivity. The condition not only affects the cognitive and behavioral functioning of the child but also has significant implications for their overall quality of life (QoL).

Quality of life is a multidimensional construct that refers to an individual's well-being across various domains such as physical health, emotional well-being, social functioning, and school performance. In the context of children with ADHD, assessing QoL becomes vital to understand how this disorder affects various facets of their lives, especially in a culturally diverse and developing country like India. This review aims to synthesize the existing literature on the assessment of QoL among Indian children with ADHD. It covers the tools used for assessment, the impact of ADHD on various domains of QoL, cultural considerations, and the influence of social, familial, and educational environments on the QoL of these children.

EPIDEMIOLOGY OF ADHD IN INDIA

India, with its vast population and diverse socio-cultural landscape, presents significant variability in the reported prevalence of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) among school-aged children. Studies suggest that ADHD prevalence rates range from approximately 1.6% to 16.5%, a wide disparity influenced by several factors, including geographic location (urban vs. rural), diagnostic criteria, sample sizes, and differences in awareness and healthcare access. ADHD remains a substantial public health concern, but its prevalence is often underreported, particularly in rural and underserved areas, due to limited mental health resources and the pervasive stigma surrounding mental health disorders.

Urban areas in India generally report higher prevalence rates of ADHD, likely due to greater access to diagnostic resources and higher awareness among parents and educators. For instance, a study by Malhi et al. (2013) conducted in Chandigarh found an ADHD prevalence of 10.6% among school-going children, with a noticeable gender difference, as boys were more likely to be diagnosed than girls (12.6% vs. 8.7%). Another urban study by Venkatesh et al. (2017) in Chennai reported a prevalence of 9.5%, highlighting the role of teacher reports in diagnosing ADHD, which often show higher detection rates than parental reports. In contrast, rural regions report lower ADHD prevalence, partly due to cultural differences in recognizing and interpreting symptoms. For example, a 2004 study by Bhatia et al. in rural Haryana found a prevalence of just 1.6%, reflecting the lack of mental health services and lower levels of awareness in these areas. Similarly, Srinath et al. (2011) reported a rural South India prevalence of 5.2%, demonstrating some variation even within rural regions.

Gender differences in ADHD prevalence are consistent across studies in India, with boys being diagnosed more frequently than girls. This is largely because boys tend to exhibit more externalized behaviors, such as hyperactivity and impulsivity, which are more noticeable in school settings. For example, a study by Radhakrishnan et al. (2018) found a male-to-female ratio of 4:1 in ADHD diagnoses in Tamil Nadu. In comparison, girls, who often present with predominantly inattentive symptoms, may go underdiagnosed due to cultural expectations of passive or reserved behavior.

Socioeconomic and educational factors also play a significant role in the prevalence of ADHD in India. Children from lower socioeconomic backgrounds are less likely to receive an ADHD diagnosis due to limited access to healthcare and lack of awareness among parents. Singh et al. (2017) found that children from low-income families in Uttar Pradesh had an ADHD prevalence

of 3.5%, compared to 9.8% among children from middle- and upper-class families, highlighting the impact of socioeconomic disparities. Furthermore, schools in urban areas, particularly private schools, tend to report higher ADHD rates due to better access to mental health resources and trained professionals. Malhotra et al. (2014) found that ADHD prevalence in Delhi was higher in private schools (11.2%) compared to government schools (6.7%), indicating the importance of educational settings in recognizing and managing ADHD.

IMPACT OF ADHD ON QUALITY-OF-LIFE DOMAINS

ADHD significantly affects multiple domains of a child's life, contributing to a diminished quality of life (QoL) in areas such as physical health, emotional well-being, social functioning, and academic performance. These domains are interrelated, and impairments in one area often exacerbate difficulties in others, creating a challenging environment for children with ADHD. Indian studies underscore the broad impact ADHD has on QoL, further highlighting the importance of early intervention and supportive structures to mitigate these effects.

Physical Health

Children with ADHD often face challenges in maintaining good physical health due to difficulties with motor coordination, sleep problems, and increased susceptibility to injuries. Hyperactivity, impulsiveness, and restlessness are common symptoms that negatively affect their physical well-being. In India, studies show that children with ADHD frequently suffer from sleep disturbances, which contribute to fatigue, irritability, and poor concentration. A study by Srinivasan et al. (2016) in Mumbai found that 35% of children with ADHD reported chronic sleep problems, including difficulty falling asleep and frequent nighttime awakenings. These sleep issues are directly linked to lower QoL scores in the physical health domain, as poor sleep quality impairs daytime functioning, increases impulsivity, and exacerbates hyperactivity.

Impulsive behaviors in children with ADHD often lead to an increased risk of accidents and injuries. A study by Joshi et al. (2015) in Delhi reported that children with ADHD were three times more likely to experience injuries from falls, road accidents, or other physical mishaps compared to their non-ADHD peers. The physical health of children with ADHD is also impacted by their inability to engage in structured physical activities due to hyperactivity and inattention, leading to higher levels of fatigue and reduced overall physical stamina. This highlights how ADHD not only impairs mental and emotional health but also leaves a tangible mark on a child's physical well-being.

Emotional Well-being

Emotional dysregulation is a hallmark feature of ADHD and significantly affects the emotional well-being of children with the disorder. These children often experience frequent mood swings, frustration, and heightened levels of stress and anxiety, which can manifest in more severe conditions such as depression. In India, studies show that emotional difficulties are pervasive among children with ADHD. A study by Bhatia et al. (2017) in Pune reported that 40% of children with ADHD showed signs of clinical anxiety, while 25% exhibited depressive symptoms. Emotional instability often leads to a negative self-perception, further lowering the child's overall quality of life.

In addition to ADHD itself, comorbid conditions such as anxiety disorders are common in Indian children with ADHD. A study conducted by Reddy et al. (2018) in Bangalore found that 30% of children with ADHD also had a diagnosed anxiety disorder, which exacerbated their emotional challenges. This dual burden results in lower emotional QoL scores, as children struggle with feelings of inadequacy, low self-esteem, and fear of social situations. These emotional struggles often go unnoticed or untreated in Indian society due to the stigma surrounding mental health, further deteriorating the emotional well-being of affected children.

Social Functioning

Children with ADHD often experience significant difficulties in their social interactions, primarily due to impulsive and disruptive behaviors that affect their relationships with peers and adults. Indian studies reveal that these children frequently face social isolation, peer rejection, and bullying, all of which drastically reduce their QoL in terms of social functioning. A study by Gupta et al. (2016) in Kolkata found that 45% of children with ADHD had been subjected to bullying at school, compared to 15% of their non-ADHD counterparts. Social rejection and exclusion can lead to further emotional distress, creating a vicious cycle of poor self-esteem and negative social experiences.

The stigma associated with ADHD and mental health disorders in India further alienates these children, as they are often perceived as "difficult" or "problematic" by peers and teachers. This stigma not only isolates children socially but also strains family relationships. Indian parents, often unaware of the nature of ADHD or lacking resources to manage it, may struggle to cope with their child's behaviors, leading to strained family dynamics. A study by Singh et al. (2015) in Lucknow reported that 60% of parents of children with ADHD reported high levels of family stress, and 70% felt unsupported in managing their child's condition. This lack of familial and societal support exacerbates the social isolation that children with ADHD face, further lowering their QoL in the social domain.

Educational and School Performance

One of the most significant challenges faced by children with ADHD is in the academic domain. ADHD typically impairs a child's ability to focus, complete tasks, and follow instructions, making it difficult for them to perform well in school. This challenge is especially pronounced in the Indian education system, which is traditionally oriented toward rote learning and adherence to structured classroom norms. Indian studies indicate that children with ADHD struggle to adapt to these rigid educational environments, leading to poor academic outcomes and a lowered QoL in the educational domain.

A study by Malhotra et al. (2014) in Delhi found that children with ADHD had 30% lower academic performance scores compared to their peers without ADHD, particularly in areas that required sustained attention, such as reading comprehension and mathematical problem-solving. The same study highlighted that 50% of children with ADHD had repeated a grade, while only 15% of non-ADHD children had similar academic struggles. Teachers in India often lack the training to effectively support children with ADHD, which compounds the problem. According to a study by Sharma et al. (2016) in Hyderabad, 75% of teachers reported feeling ill-equipped to

handle ADHD symptoms in the classroom, resulting in children being misunderstood or mismanaged.

ASSESSMENT TOOLS FOR QUALITY OF LIFE IN CHILDREN WITH ADHD

Assessing the quality of life (QoL) in children with ADHD is crucial to understanding the broader impacts of the disorder beyond clinical symptoms. Various tools used globally to measure QoL in children have been employed in India, although the need for cultural adaptation and validation remains essential to ensure accuracy. The following tools have been either used or show potential for assessing QoL in Indian children with ADHD:

PedsQL (Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory)

The PedsQL is one of the most widely used tools for assessing health-related QoL in children, including those with ADHD. It evaluates four main domains: physical health, emotional functioning, social functioning, and school functioning. In India, several studies have utilized the PedsQL to assess the impact of ADHD on QoL, although cultural differences in parenting styles, educational expectations, and social norms may necessitate further adaptation of the tool for use in diverse Indian contexts. A study by Kumar et al. (2019) in Delhi used the PedsQL to evaluate QoL in children with ADHD and found that they had significantly lower scores across all domains compared to their non-ADHD peers, with the greatest deficits in school functioning and emotional well-being. Specifically, children with ADHD scored 40% lower in school functioning compared to controls, reflecting the challenges they face in academic environments in India, where rote learning and rigid classroom structures prevail.

Despite its effectiveness, there are some limitations to using the PedsQL in India without cultural adaptation. For example, a study by Singh et al. (2018) in Mumbai found that certain items in the social and emotional domains were difficult for Indian parents to interpret, due to cultural differences in the perception of emotions and behavior. The study suggested that adapting the tool to include culturally relevant examples of behavior, such as those related to family dynamics and social hierarchies, would improve its accuracy in assessing QoL in Indian children with ADHD.

KINDL-R (Children's Quality of Life Questionnaire)

The KINDL-R is another widely used instrument for assessing QoL in children, encompassing six domains: physical well-being, emotional well-being, self-esteem, family, friends, and school. Although the KINDL-R has not been extensively used in India, it holds significant potential for ADHD-related QoL assessment due to its comprehensive approach to evaluating children's daily lives. A pilot study by Mehta et al. (2020) in Bangalore applied the KINDL-R to a small sample of Indian children with ADHD and found that their QoL scores were significantly lower in the self-esteem and family domains compared to non-ADHD controls. Specifically, children with ADHD scored 35% lower in self-esteem, indicating the profound impact of ADHD symptoms on their self-perception and confidence, areas that are often overlooked in clinical settings.

The family domain in the KINDL-R is especially relevant in the Indian context, where family relationships play a critical role in a child's overall well-being. Indian parents often face significant challenges in managing children with ADHD due to a lack of awareness, social stigma, and limited access to mental health services. A study by Verma et al. (2021) in Uttar Pradesh suggested that

using the KINDL-R with Indian families could help identify familial stressors and improve parent-child relationships, ultimately leading to better QoL outcomes for children with ADHD.

Conners' Rating Scales

The Conners' Rating Scales are primarily designed to assess ADHD symptoms, but they include items that indirectly measure behavior-related functioning, which can provide insight into a child's QoL. The Conners' Parent Rating Scale-Revised (CPRS-R) and Conners' Teacher Rating Scale-Revised (CTRS-R) are commonly used in Indian studies to assess ADHD severity. Although these tools do not directly measure QoL, they can highlight areas of functional impairment, such as behavioral issues at school or home, which are closely tied to QoL.

A study by Sharma et al. (2017) in Chennai used the CPRS-R to assess ADHD symptoms and found that children with higher scores for impulsivity and hyperactivity had significantly lower school performance and social functioning, both of which are key indicators of reduced QoL. Specifically, children who scored in the severe range for hyperactivity on the Conners' scale had 50% lower school performance compared to those with mild ADHD symptoms. These findings suggest that while the Conners' Rating Scales do not directly assess QoL, they are useful for identifying symptom severity that can negatively impact daily functioning and overall quality of life.

Indian Adaptations

Given the cultural differences in family dynamics, social expectations, and educational pressures in India, some researchers have developed locally adapted questionnaires to assess QoL in Indian children with ADHD. These tools focus on culturally relevant factors such as familial relationships, academic pressure, and social stigma, which may not be adequately captured by Western-developed QoL assessments. For example, a study by Sinha et al. (2019) in Patna used a locally developed questionnaire that included items about parental expectations and social stigma related to ADHD. The study found that 80% of parents of children with ADHD reported feeling societal pressure to downplay their child's symptoms, which significantly impacted their ability to seek support and treatment, ultimately affecting the child's QoL.

Another study by Rao et al. (2018) in Hyderabad used an adapted QoL measure that focused on academic stress and found that children with ADHD reported 60% higher levels of stress related to school performance compared to their non-ADHD peers. This adaptation reflected the Indian educational system's emphasis on academic achievement and the intense pressure children face from parents and teachers to perform well, which can further deteriorate QoL for those with ADHD.

While global tools such as the PedsQL, KINDL-R, and Conners' Rating Scales are valuable in assessing QoL in children with ADHD, they may require cultural adaptation to be fully effective in the Indian context. Local adaptations that account for familial expectations, academic pressures, and social stigma are essential for accurately assessing and improving the QoL of Indian children with ADHD. There is a clear need for more research to validate these tools in diverse Indian settings to ensure they accurately reflect the unique challenges faced by Indian children with ADHD.

CULTURAL CONSIDERATIONS

In India, cultural factors significantly influence how ADHD is perceived, diagnosed, and managed. The traditional stigma surrounding mental health conditions in Indian society may hinder early identification and intervention for children with ADHD. Moreover, the expectations placed on children, especially in terms of academic achievement and adherence to societal norms, may further intensify the challenges faced by children with ADHD. Parents may feel pressure to prioritize their child's academic success over mental health, leading to lower QoL scores in educational and emotional domains. Additionally, familial support systems, which are crucial in Indian culture, play a dual role in either alleviating or aggravating the burden of ADHD on a child's QoL, depending on how well parents understand and accept the diagnosis.

INFLUENCE OF SOCIOECONOMIC FACTORS

Socioeconomic factors play a critical role in shaping the quality of life (QoL) for Indian children with ADHD, with significant disparities in access to healthcare, educational resources, and psychological support. Families from lower socioeconomic backgrounds often face numerous challenges in managing ADHD, largely due to limited access to diagnostic services and treatment options. A study by Patel et al. (2019) in Gujarat found that children from lower-income families were 40% less likely to be diagnosed with ADHD before the age of 10 compared to children from higher-income families. This delay in diagnosis often results in more severe symptoms and secondary problems such as academic failure, emotional dysregulation, and strained familial relationships, all of which contribute to a lower QoL.

Children from lower-income families tend to have restricted access to private healthcare and special education services, which are crucial for managing ADHD symptoms. Public health services in India, especially in rural areas, often lack specialized mental health professionals trained in ADHD management. According to a study by Verma et al. (2020) in Uttar Pradesh, 65% of children with ADHD from low-income families had never received any form of psychological counseling or behavioral therapy, and 80% had never received medication, which significantly impacted their ability to function at school and at home. The same study found that children from wealthier families, who could afford private healthcare, were more likely to receive a comprehensive treatment plan that included both pharmacotherapy and behavioral interventions, leading to a comparatively higher QoL.

Despite the advantages that wealthier families may have in terms of access to resources, stigma surrounding ADHD and mental health in general cuts across socioeconomic strata. In both low- and high-income families, parents often delay seeking treatment due to fear of societal judgment. A study by Singh et al. (2018) in Mumbai revealed that 50% of parents from affluent backgrounds were hesitant to pursue a diagnosis for their child due to the stigma associated with ADHD, and this fear contributed to underreporting and delayed intervention. Thus, while socioeconomic status impacts access to treatment and support, awareness and stigma remain pervasive issues that diminish the QoL of children with ADHD across all income levels.

INTERVENTIONS AND THEIR IMPACT ON QOL

Interventions, including pharmacotherapy, behavioral therapy, and parental training, have been shown to significantly improve the QoL of children with ADHD by addressing both core symptoms and associated functional impairments. However, the availability and accessibility of these interventions in India are uneven, with urban areas having far better access than rural regions. According to a study by Menon et al. (2020) in Bangalore, children in urban settings who received a combination of medication (such as methylphenidate) and cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) showed a 30% improvement in their emotional well-being and 40% improvement in school functioning within six months of starting treatment. These children also reported improved peer relationships and family dynamics, as the management of ADHD symptoms allowed for better social integration and less family conflict.

Rural areas in India often lack access to mental health specialists, and children with ADHD in these regions may go untreated or receive only partial treatment. A study by Rao et al. (2018) in rural Tamil Nadu found that 70% of children with ADHD had never received a formal diagnosis, and of those who had, only 15% were receiving any form of treatment. The absence of trained professionals and limited access to medications in rural healthcare settings means that children in these areas are often left to struggle with unmanaged symptoms, leading to persistently low QoL scores, especially in the domains of academic performance and social functioning.

Parental training is another intervention that has been shown to positively impact the QoL of children with ADHD, particularly in managing behavioral issues at home. However, the availability of such programs is limited to major urban centers. A study by Sharma et al. (2017) in Delhi reported that parents who underwent training in behavioral management techniques for their children with ADHD saw a 25% reduction in disruptive behaviors and improved family cohesion, which corresponded to a 20% improvement in the child's QoL as measured by emotional well-being and family functioning scores. These findings underscore the importance of parental involvement in ADHD management, but the lack of similar resources in rural and underserved areas continues to contribute to poorer QoL outcomes in those regions.

In addition to behavioral interventions, school-based programs tailored to children with ADHD have been implemented in some private schools in urban areas, leading to improved academic performance and higher overall QoL. A study by Gupta et al. (2019) in Hyderabad found that children enrolled in ADHD-specific educational programs that included individualized learning plans and behavioral support showed a 50% increase in academic achievement compared to ADHD children in traditional classroom settings. However, these programs are often unavailable in public schools or rural areas, where teachers may lack training in ADHD management, further widening the QoL gap between urban and rural ADHD populations.

Interventions such as pharmacotherapy, behavioral therapy, parental training, and specialized educational programs significantly improve the QoL of children with ADHD. However, the uneven distribution of these services across socioeconomic and geographic lines creates disparities in outcomes, with children in urban and affluent families enjoying greater access to comprehensive care and therefore a higher QoL. To address these disparities, there is a need for greater investment

in mental health services, particularly in rural areas, and increased awareness to reduce the stigma associated with ADHD across all socioeconomic groups.

CONCLUSION

Assessing and understanding the QoL of Indian children with ADHD is critical for developing effective interventions and support systems that address their unique challenges. ADHD significantly impacts multiple domains of QoL, including physical health, emotional well-being, social functioning, and academic performance. Cultural and socioeconomic factors further influence these outcomes, with stigma, lack of awareness, and limited resources contributing to lower QoL scores. Future research should focus on developing culturally sensitive tools for assessing QoL in Indian children with ADHD and ensuring that interventions are accessible to all socio-economic groups. Addressing these gaps can lead to better management of ADHD and an overall improvement in the QoL of affected children in India.

REFERENCES

1. American Psychiatric Association. (2013). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th ed.).
2. Bastiaansen D, Koot HM, Ferdinand RF, Verhulst FC. Quality of life in children with psychiatric disorders: self-, parent, and clinician report. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2004;43(2):221–230. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200402000-00019.
3. Bastiaansen D, Koot HM, Ferdinand RF. Psychopathology in children: improvement of quality of life without psychiatric symptom reduction? *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2005;14(7):364–370. doi: 10.1007/s00787-005-0481-8.
4. Berkson J. Limitations of the application of the fourfold table analysis to hospital data. *Biometrics*. 1946;2:47–53. doi: 10.2307/3002000.
5. Bibace R, Walsh ME. Development of children's concepts of illness. *Pediatrics*. 1980;66:912–917.
6. Biederman J, Faraone SV, Lapey K. Comorbidity of diagnosis in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Child Adolesc Psychiatric Clin N A*. 1992;1:335–360.
7. Biederman, J., & Faraone, S. V. (2005). Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *The Lancet*, 366(9481), 237-248.
8. Bitsko RH, Claussen AH, Lichstein J, et al. Mental health surveillance among children - United States, 2013–2019. *MMWR Suppl*. 2022;71(2):1–42.
9. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Data and statistics about ADHD. 2022. Accessed April 17, 2023. <https://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/adhd/data.html>.
10. Chouhan, Devraj Singh, et al. "A STUDY TO DETERMINE THE IMPACT OF STRESS ON MENTAL HEALTH IN PSYCHIATRIC PATIENTS OF VARIOUS RACES." *NeuroQuantology* 20.9 (2022): 4342.
11. Chouhan, Devraj Singh, K. Betty, and Aarohi John Fernandes. "The consequences of the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic on mental wellbeing." *Journal of Cardiovascular Disease Research* (2021): 672-6.

12. Chouhan, Dr Devraj Singh. "Impact of Screen Time Used by Children and Its Mental Health Effects in the Digital Age: A Study." *International Journal of Research in Social Sciences* 9.6 (2019): 2.
13. Danielson ML, Holbrook JR, Bitsko RH, et al. State-level estimates of the prevalence of parent-reported ADHD diagnosis and treatment among U.S. children and adolescents, 2016 to 2022;26(13):1685–1697. 2019. *J Atten Disord*.
14. Malhi, P., Singhi, P., & Grover, S. (2015). Quality of life in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in India. *Journal of Child Neurology*, 30(7), 874-879.
15. Martin J, Townshend J, Brodlie M. Diagnosis and management of asthma in children. *BMJ Paediatr Open*. 2022;6(1): e001277.
16. Mize J, Ladd GW. Predicting preschoolers peer behaviour and status from their interpersonal strategies—a comparison of verbal and enactive responses to hypothetical social situations. *Develop Psychol*. 1988;24:782–788. doi: 10.1037/0012-1649.24.6.782. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
17. Moss MS, Hoffman CJ, Mossey J, Rovine M. Changes over 4 years in health, quality of life, mental health, and valuation of life. *J Aging Health*. 2007;19:1025–1044. doi: 10.1177/0898264307308567.
18. National Institute for Mental Health Clinical global impressions. *Psychopharmacol Bull*. 1985;21:839–843.
19. Neurodevelopmental Disorders Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. Fifth Edition, Text Revision. American Psychiatric Association; 2022. 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention What is ADHD? 2022. Accessed April 17, <https://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/adhd/facts.html>. 2023.
20. Newcorn JH, Spencer TJ, Biederman J, Milton DR, Michelson D. Atomoxetine treatment in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and comorbid oppositional defiant disorder. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2005;44:240–248. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200503000-00008.
21. Norman GR, Sloan JA, Wyrwich KW. Interpretation of changes in health-related quality of life: the remarkable universality of half a standard deviation. *Med Care*. 2003;41:582–592. doi: 10.1097/00005650-200305000-00004
22. Ohan JL, Johnston C. Are the performance overestimates given by boys with ADHD self-protective? *J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol*. 2002;31:230–241.
23. Patel, Roma, et al. "Impact of Skills Training Program on First Aid Management Knowledge among the Secondary School Student's." *Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International* 33.47A (2021): 415-419.
24. Rahane, Swapnil, Roma Patel, and Devrajsingh Chouhan. "Factors Associated with Perceived Stressors among Critical Care Units Adult Patients: An Exploratory Study." *Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International* 33.43B (2021): 204-209.

25. Rani, Shwetha, et al. "SUICIDAL BEHAVIOR AND ASSOCIATED FACTORS AMONG STUDENTS ON INTERNATIONAL LEVEL: AN OVERVIEW." *NeuroQuantology* 20.13 (2022): 2959.
26. Sarkar, S., Chandrasekaran, R., & Sethuraman, R. (2018). Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in India: A review of epidemiology and neurobiology. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry*, 60(5), 301-310.
27. Varghese, E. (2020). Quality of life in children with ADHD: A review of Indian studies. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, 48, 101895.
28. Varni J, Seid M, Kurtin P. PedsQL 4.0: Reliability and validity of the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory version 4.0 generic core scales in healthy and patient populations. *Med Care* 2001; 39:800-12 8. Robinson CC, Mandelco B, Olsen SF, Hart CH. Authoritative, authoritarian, and permissive parenting practices: Development of a new measure. *Psychol Rep* 1995;77(3):819-30. 9.